AGENDA



PWYLLGOR CRAFFU'R CABINET

2.00 PM DYDD MERCHER, 22 CHWEFROR 2023

CYFARFOD AML-LEOLIAD - SIAMBR Y CYNGOR, TIMAU PORT TALBOT A MICROSOFT

Rhaid gosod pob ffôn symudol ar y modd distaw ar gyfer parhad y cyfarfod

<u>Rhan 1</u>

- 1. Cyhoeddiad y Cadeirydd
- 2. Datganiadau o fuddiannau
- 3. Cofnodion y cyfarfod blaenorol *(Tudalennau 5 22)*
 - 19 Hydref, 2022
 - 21 Tachwedd, 2022
 - 30 Tachwedd, 2022
- 4. Craffu Cyn Penderfynu

Dewis eitemau priodol o Agenda Bwrdd y Cabinet ar gyfer craffu cyn penderfynu (amgaeir adroddiadau Bwrdd y Cabinet aa gyfer yr Aelodau Craffu)

- 5. Rhaglen Gwaith Cychwynnol 2022/23 (Tudalennau 23 24)
- 6. Eitemau brys (Unrhyw eitemau brys (boed yn gyhoeddus neu wedi'u heithrio) yn ol disgresiwn y Cadeirydd yn unol ag Adran 100B (4) (b) o Ddeddf Llywodraeth Leol 1972)

K.Jones

Prif Weithredwr

Canolfan Ddinesig Port Talbot

Dydd Iau, 16 Chwefror 2023

Aelodaeth y Pwyllgor:

- Cadeirydd: Y Cynghorydd P.Rogers
- Is-gadeirydd: C.James
- **Cynghorwyr:** T.Bowen, C.Clement-Williams, C.Galsworthy, J.Henton, J.Jones, R.G.Jones, S.Paddison, C.Phillips, R.Phillips, S.Pursey, S.H.Reynolds, A.J.Richards a/ac M.Spooner

Nodiadau:

- (1) Os yw aelodau'r pwyllgor neu'r rhai nad ydynt yn aelodau'r pwyllgor am gynnig eitemau perthnasol i'w cynnwys ar yr agenda cyn cyfarfodydd y dyfodol, rhaid iddynt roi gwybod i'r Prif Weithredwr/Cadeirydd 8 niwrnod cyn y cyfarfod.
- (2) Os yw'r rhai nad ydynt yn aelodau'r pwyllgor am fod yn bresennol ar gyfer eitem o ddiddordeb, mae'n rhaid rhoi rhybudd ymlaen llaw (erbyn 12 hanner dydd ar y diwrnod cyn y cyfarfod). Gall y rhai nad ydynt yn aelodau'r pwyllgor siarad ond nid oes ganddynt hawl i bleidleisio, cynnig nac eilio unrhyw gynnig.
- (3) Fel arfer, ar gyfer trefniadau cyn craffu, bydd y Cadeirydd yn argymell eitemau gweithredol sydd ar ddod i'w trafod/herio. Mae hefyd yn agored i aelodau'r pwyllgor ofyn i eitemau gael eu trafod - er y gofynnir i'r aelodau ddewis a dethol yma o ran materion pwysig.
- (4) Gwahoddir aelodau perthnasol Bwrdd y Cabinet hefyd i fod yn bresennol yn y cyfarfod at ddibenion Craffu/Ymgynghori.
- (5) Gofynnir i aelodau'r Pwyllgor Craffu ddod â'u papurau ar gyfer Bwrdd y Cabinet i'r cyfarfod.

Mae'r dudalen hon yn fwriadol wag

Eitem yr Agenda3

Cabinet Scrutiny Committee

- 1 -

(Multi-Location Meeting - Council Chamber, Port Talbot and Microsoft Teams)

Members Present:

19 October 2022

Chairperson	Councillor P.Rogers	
Vice Chairperson:	Councillor C.James	
Councillors:	T.Bowen, C.Clement-Williams, C.Galsworthy, J.Henton, R.G.Jones, C.Lewis, S.Paddison, C.Phillips, S.Pursey and A.J.Richards	
Officers In Attendance	K.Jones, A.Jarrett, A.Thomas, N.Pearce, H.Jones, S.Rees, M.Shaw, R.Headon, Ms.L.Willis, J.Woodman-Ralph and C.John	
Cabinet Invitees:	Councillors W.F.Griffiths, S.Harris, S.K.Hunt, J.Hurley, N.Jenkins, S.A.Knoyle, A.Llewelyn, D.M.Peters and J.Hale	

1. Chairs Announcements

There were none.

2. **Declarations of Interests**

There were none.

3. Minutes of the Previous Meeting

The minutes of the meeting held on the 28 July 2022 were approved as an accurate record.

4. Pre-decision Scrutiny

Capital Budget Monitoring Report 2022/23 – As at end of September 2022

Members received information in relation to the delivery of the 2022/23 Capital Programme.

Members queried the budget lines with in the appendix 1 of the circulated report that are currently 0 expenditure. Members wanted reassurance around the spending of those monies. Members were particularly interested in having further information around the budget line in relation to the 'Regeneration: Valleys Task Force'. Officers informed members that they would gather information outside the meeting and speak with the relevant officers to provide a response to members.

Following Scrutiny, the Committee was supportive of the proposals to be considered by the Cabinet Board

Revenue Budget Monitoring Report 2022/2023 - As at end of September 2022

Members were provided with information in relation to the Council's projected revenue budget position.

The pay award for 2022/23 was discussed and members queried the impact the pay award may have on Schools. It was asked whether Schools would be able to cover the 1% due to the anticipated pay award being 5% and the Welsh government settlement only allowing for 4%. Officers clarified that the Council would allocate 4% to the pay award as this is what has been provided from Welsh Government. Officers highlighted that due to the pay award and the additional costings the schools maybe required to utilise reserves to fund surplus of 2 million. Officers were not aware of any schools that may result in a deficit due to this pay award, however Officers confirmed that they would gather an analysis and share with Members.

Members shared their concerns around a potential 2 million deficit and the use of their reserves. It was noted that the 1% additional cost in relation to some schools budget will certainly adversely affect those that have a larger budget as opposed to smaller schools. It was asked that information be provided on the impact that the 1% additional cost in relation to the pay award will affect primary and secondary schools (in number) that are on recovery plans based on deficits going forward. It was also asked that this information be circulated to the committee and passed to the Chair of Education, Skills and Wellbeing Scrutiny Committee to consider.

Members queried the costings for the Free School Meal roll out for all reception children in Primary Schools. It was noted that the cost of food and energy costs have increased and asked whether there would be an impact on the budget along with Welsh Government reviewing the rate per meal to ensure funding is significant. Officers confirmed that they would gather figures and further information outside the meeting.

Following Scrutiny, the Committee was supportive of the proposals to be considered by the Cabinet Board

Medium Term Financial Plan 2022/23 to 2027/2028

Members were update on the Medium Term Financial Plan for 2023/24 to 2027/28, based on current modelling assumptions in advance of formulating the detailed budget strategy proposals for 2023/24 during the autumn and winter, as detailed within the circulated report.

The Chief Executive informed members of the upcoming challenges and the uncertainty around the potential settlement when setting the budget.

Discussions took place around the transitioning of buildings for renewable energy. Members welcomed this approach due to the current circumstances around energy prices. The Chief Executive highlighted that there is clear direction in making efficient economic measures such as such as switching off lights in unused rooms within civic buildings. It was also noted that discussions are taking place around how many buildings would need to be retained within the portfolio. It was highlighted that there is roughly a 20% occupancy within the buildings currently and officers considering options in repurposing the buildings to ensure appropriate savings are being made.

Following scrutiny, it was agreed that the report be noted.

Welsh Language Promotion Strategy Review

Members were informed of the proposed arrangements to review the Welsh Language Promotion Strategy.

Members highlighted the benefits of the previous Welsh Language Promotion Strategy Task and Finish group. Members welcomed the approach on re-establishing this Task and Finish Group to further support the Welsh Government in reaching targets to promote the welsh language and the strategy.

It was asked how the group would be populated. It was noted that if Cabinet were minded to approve the recommendation the Head of Democratic Services would be delegated authority to arrange and facilitate the establishment of the Task and finish group.

Following Scrutiny, the Committee was supportive of the proposals to be considered by the Cabinet Board

5. Forward Work Programme 2022/23

That the Forward Work Programme be noted.

6. Urgent Items

There were none.

CHAIRPERSON

Cabinet Scrutiny Committee

(Multi-Location Meeting - Council Chamber, Port Talbot and Microsoft Teams)

Members Present:

21 November 2022

Chairperson:	Councillor P.Rogers	
Councillors:	T.Bowen, C.Galsworthy, J.Henton, R.G.Jones, S.Paddison, C.Phillips, S.Pursey, S.H.Reynolds and A.J.Richards	
Officers In Attendance	K.Jones, A.Jarrett, A.Thomas, N.Pearce, C.Griffiths, H.Jones, C.John and J.Woodman- Ralph	
Cabinet Invitees:	Councillors W.F.Griffiths, S.Harris, S.K.Hunt, J.Hurley, N.Jenkins, S.Jones, S.A.Knoyle, A.Llewelyn, D.M.Peters and J.Hale	
Invitees:	D.Gwynne M.Stacy A.Harston A.Jones	Euru Consulting Associated British Ports Associated British Ports Associated British Ports

1. Chairs Announcements

The Chair welcomed everyone in the meeting.

2. **Declarations of Interests**

There were none.

3. <u>Pre-decision Scrutiny</u>

Freeport Bid Submission

The Chair welcomed Andrew Harston, Alice Jones and Mike Stacy from Associated British Ports along with David Gwynne from Eurus Consulting to the meeting. Officers introduced the report and provided information in relation to a bid to the Welsh and UK governments for a Freeport covering the port of Port Talbot and the port of Milford Haven and surrounding areas.

Members noted the error contained within the circulated report, in relation to Page 10 it stated "This includes £21 million (or potentially more)" it should have read £25 million.

Members sought clarity around the financial implications which highlights that £1m of Government funding would be made available for development. Offices confirmed that this would be a one off sum supporting the bidder to support the bid from the outline stage to the final business case stage in line with the treasury green book rules. In relation to any ongoing costs a business model would need to be developed.

Members highlighted the recent climate emergency statement and asked what the implications would be during construction of this development. Officers discussed that with any construction there would be an increase in emissions due to HCV traffic, however, the development is to enhance biodiversity interest and transition to renewable energy which would reduce the carbon footprint.

Discussions took place around the potential costs and resources. It was highlighted the amount of pressure that officers have experienced during these past couple of years with the pandemic and other large projects that the council have signed up to. Members were concerned around these pressures. The Chief Executive agreed that officers have received pressure due to the pandemic and other projects and reassured members that resources would be continually considered going forward.

4. Access to Meetings

RESOLVED: that pursuant to Section 100A(4) and (5) of the Local Government Act 1972, the public be excluded for the following items of business which involved the likely disclosure of exempt information as defined in Paragraph 14 of Part 4 of Schedule 12A to the above Act.

5. Pre-Decision Scrutiny of Private Item/s

Freeports Submission Appendix B

Members received a presentation from Andrew Harston, Alice Jones from ABP and David Gwynne from Eurus Consulting in relation to the private elements of the report detailed within Freeports Submission Appendix B.

6. - Public Session -

Members resolved to move back in to public session.

7. <u>Pre-decision scrutiny</u>

Freeport Bid Submission

The Chair summarised the discussions and referred to the recommendations that were listed on page 12 of the circulated report.

Members proposed and seconded the following amendment to bullet point 2 of the recommendations:

'Members grant delegate authority to the CEO, in consultation with the Leader, and Cabinet Members for Strategic Planning, Transport and Connectivity and Economic and Community Regeneration to agree amendments to the Bid prior to its final submission to the Welsh Government'

Following the vote, the amendment fell and was therefore not supported.

Members proposed and seconded the original recommendation as detailed below:

It is recommended, that having due regard to the integrated impact screening assessment that:

- Members approve the submission of a bid for a Freeport covering the port of Port Talbot and the port of Milford Haven and surrounding areas, in the form annexed at Appendix B, subject to any variations that may be necessary prior to submission.
- Members grant delegated authority to the Chief Executive, in consultation with the Leader of Council to agree amendments to the Bid prior to its final submission to the Welsh Government

- Members approve Neath Port Talbot County Borough Council's nomination as the Accountable Body for the proposed Freeport.
- Members authorise the Leader of Council to submit a letter to the Welsh Government reflecting Neath Port Talbot County Borough Council's support for a Freeport covering the port of Port Talbot and the port of Milford Haven.
- Members authorise the Chief Executive in consultation with the Leader to take action as may be necessary to promote the merits of the Bid post submission up to the point of Ministers decisions on the success/otherwise of the bid.

Following scrutiny the members were supportive of this recommendation to be put forward to cabinet.

8. Urgent Items

There were none.

CHAIRPERSON

Cabinet Scrutiny Committee

(Multi-Location Meeting - Council Chamber, Port Talbot and Microsoft Teams)

Members Present:

30 November 2022

Chairperson:	Councillor P.Rogers	
Vice Chairperson:	Councillor C.James	
Councillors:	T.Bowen, C.Clement-Williams, C.Galsworthy, J.Henton, R.G.Jones, C.Lewis, S.Paddison, C.Phillips, R.Phillips, S.Pursey, S.H.Reynolds and A.J.Richards	
Officers In Attendance:	K.Jones, A.Thomas, A.Jarrett, N.Pearce, C.Griffiths, H.Jones, N.Blackmore, R.Bowen, S.Davies, A.Hinder, D.Holder-Phillips, J.Merrifield, M.Shaw, P.Walker, G.White, K.Windsor-Brown, J.Woodman-Ralph and A.Thomas	
Cabinet Invitees:	Councillors W.F.Griffiths, J.Hale, S.Harris, S.K.Hunt, J.Hurley, N.Jenkins, S.Jones, S.A.Knoyle, A.Llewelyn and D.M.Peters	

1. Chairs Announcements

The Chairperson welcomed everyone to the meeting.

2. **Declarations of Interests**

The Head of Legal and Democratic Services provided the Committee with the following information and advice in regards to interests and predetermination, which related in particular to the School Strategic Improvement Plan report which was included on the Cabinet agenda:

• The proposal included in the circulated report was a new and fresh decision that Members will need to make; the fact that Members may have voted in a particular way at a previous meeting, will not amount to pre-determination provided

- 1 -

Members retain a genuinely open mind in respect of this new meeting.

- When arriving at decisions relating to any of the Councils business, Members must do so with an open mind and consider all the information before them objectively, having due regard to the advice of Officers. During the decision-making process, Members must act fairly and in the public interest. Members were required to make their decisions on the basis of the facts in front of them, and not to have made their mind up in advance of the meeting.
- If Members consider that they have come to a settled view or decision in respect of this matter, and were unable or unwilling to take into account any other representations or advice, or a member of the public may feel that in taking into account all matters there was a risk, Members would in all likelihood have predetermined the matter. Accordingly if Members feel they have predetermined their position, they should not be taking part in any vote. Pre-determination could not only invalidate the decision, it could lead to proceedings being brought against the Council and it may also amount to a breach of the Members Code of Conduct. This would be the opportunity for Members to declare that
- Members were entitled to hold a preliminary view about a particular matter in advance of a meeting (otherwise known as pre-disposition) as long as Members keep a genuinely open mind and were prepared to consider the merits of all the arguments and points made about the matter under consideration before reaching their decision.
- It should be noted that the determination here was one for Members to decide.
- For the sake of clarity, manifesto commitments and policy statements which were consistent with a preparedness to consider and weigh relevant factors when reaching the final decision, were examples of legitimate predisposition, not predetermination. In addition, previously expressed views on matters which arise for decision in the ordinary run of events were routine provided, Members were able to approach this particular decision with an open mind.

The following Members made declarations of interest at the start of the meeting:

Cllr A.Llewelyn

Agenda Item 6 on the Cabinet

	0
	Agenda - Strategic School Improvement Programme - Proposal to Establish and English-Medium 3 - 11 School to Replace Alltwen, Godre'rgraig and Llangiwg Primary Schools as he is a school governor at Ysgol Gymraeg Ystalyfera – Bro Dur but has dispensation to speak and vote.
Cllr J.Henton	Agenda Item 6 on the Cabinet Agenda - Strategic School Improvement Programme - Proposal to Establish and English-Medium 3 - 11 School to Replace Alltwen, Godre'rgraig and Llangiwg Primary Schools as he is a school governor at Ysgol Gynradd Gymraeg Tregeles but has dispensation to speak and vote.

- 3 -

3. Pre-decision Scrutiny

The Committee chose to scrutinise the following item on the Cabinet agenda:

<u>Strategic School Improvement Programme - Proposal to Establish an</u> <u>English-Medium 3 - 11 School to Replace Alltwen, Godre'rgraig and</u> <u>Llangiwg Primary Schools</u>

The circulated report provided detail regarding the proposal for a new consultation around the proposal for school reorganisation in the Swansea Valley; the proposal was to establish an English-medium 3-11 school with a specialist learning support centre, in new build premises to accommodate pupils from the current catchment areas of Alltwen Primary, Godre'rgraig Primary and Llangiwg Primary Schools.

It was explained that the Cabinet of the previous administration approved the former decision in relation to this matter; however, the decision had since been challenged and a judicial review took place which saw the decision be overturned. Members were informed that the new administration had committed to reviewing the decision taken in respect of the Swansea Valley School reorganisation proposal, which required the Council to start a new consultation for the proposal.

If Members approved the recommendation detailed in the circulated report, Officers stated that they will be highly encouraging members of the interested communities to take part in the consultation in order to provide their views.

A discussion took place in regards to the involvement of the Education, Skills and Wellbeing Scrutiny Committee in this process, and the function of Joint Scrutiny Committees. The Chief Executive indicated that it was for Scrutiny Members to decide how scrutiny carries out its functions; there was a meeting scheduled with Scrutiny Chairs to remind them of their provisions within the Constitution, which include calling Joint Scrutiny Committee meetings in order for other Elected Members to attend specific Committees. It was noted that it would be useful to have a more in depth discussion with the Members of the Education, Skills and Wellbeing Scrutiny Committee in regards to this matter, if they felt it was important that they be present when future reports, relating to this proposal, were brought back to Cabinet Scrutiny Committee.

Members queried if public meetings would form part of the consultation process; it was mentioned that the previous administration weren't able to hold face-to-face public meetings during the initial consultation of this proposal due to the Covid-19 pandemic. Officers explained that they would be following the consultation requirements as necessary, and confirmed that there will be elements of the consultation undertaken face-to-face with the public.

Officers were asked to explain the consequences of any potential changes to the main proposal, if the consultation period was to go ahead and the feedback provided good reason to change that proposal. It was also asked that if a new business case was to be produced for any alternatives that may seem feasible, how long would the process of drawing up the business case take and when would there be another opportunity to submit that business case to Welsh Government for funding. It was noted if Cabinet decided not to progress with the proposal that was being consulted on, at that point it would be assumed that there would be a preferred option to peruse in terms of investment; the £22.5million that was currently available to Neath Port Talbot for the current proposal, would no longer be available. It was confirmed that the Council would need to submit a

new business case to Welsh Government that related to Band C schooling; this new process could be started immediately after the current proposals process had been concluded entirely. Officers highlighted that it would take months to write up the new business model as it was a detailed and complex process; once submitted to Welsh Government, they would take a few months to consider the proposal, however there would be no guarantee that they would support it. Mention was also made to the fact that the pupils of Godre'rgraig Primary School would be required to stay in temporary accommodation for a longer period of time.

Officers confirmed that any capital investment through the Sustainable Communities for Learning Programme (formerly known as the 21st Century Schools Programme) would require a robust business case. It was mentioned that the process for submitting business cases, through the HM Treasury 5 Case Business Model, was a strict format and had tests within it that were required to be met.

The Committee discussed the reasons as to why the proposal would need to be consulted on again. The Deputy Leader confirmed that the new administration had committed to review this matter based on the views and responses to the previous consultation, and based on the legal case which had taken place against the decision previously made; if the consultation phase was to be approved, all responses, outcomes and feedback from the new consultation will be considered accordingly, along with advice from Council Officers.

The judicial review gave the Council the right to appeal the decision that was made by the Court; Members queried who made the decision to not go ahead with the appeal process. The Head of Legal and Democratic Services clarified that the decision was lost on a ground one basis, that a Welsh Language Assessment hadn't been included within the consultation document. It was stated that when dealing with judicial reviews, there was a very tight timescale to lodge an appeal; the Council made the determination whether to lodge the appeal via its Urgency Action process.

The circulated report detailed information regarding the schools organisation code; within this were two different outlines of consultation specifications, one of 42 days and another of 28 days. Members asked if Officers could provide further information on these specifications. It was explained that the 42 days refers to the process of the public consultation phase, which had to last a minimum of 42 days (the recommendation within the circulated report was seeking to embark on this phase). If Cabinet were minded to approve the recommendation, it was noted that the consultation will then take place: following this, a report will be brought back to Committee

- 6 -

place; following this, a report will be brought back to Committee detailing the feedback of the consultation and Officers response to that feedback. It was highlighted that if the proposal was to progress, Officers would then ask for permission to move onto the next phase which was known as the period for statutory objection; this period had to last 28 days exactly. It was mentioned that if the public wanted their objections to the consultation to be recorded, they would need to comment on this phase in order for their comments to be processed (even if they had previously provided their feedback during the public consultation phase).

The Committee expressed concerns in regards consulting on the previous proposals, before there had been a wider review or evidenced assessment of other alternatives. Members were informed that the code required Officers to consider various options for a business case; once this has been completed, they must then decide on which proposal would be put forward for consultation. It was highlighted that the circulated report contained 14 other options which had been considered, however chosen not to pursue as the preferred option; the consultation phase was focused on exploring all of the options around the delivery of education in the Swansea Valley, therefore the public were encouraged to highlight their views, provide other ideas and/or express their preferred option. Officers confirmed that part of the consultation report, that was required to be brought back to Committee, would identify any comments, ideas and/or issues; this could potentially lead to a change to the proposal. It was mentioned that the consultation phase was a formative stage, and no decision on the proposal would be made during this stage.

Members queried why Rhydyfro Primary School was removed from the original plan; the school had been previously named in the documentation. The Director of Education, Leisure and Lifelong Learning explained the process of submitting an outline business case to Welsh Government; if they approved the outline business case, Officers were then able to commence the detailed work in regards to the proposals. It was noted that when initially working on the proposals for the Swansea Valley Schools, it started as a proposal for a 3-16 school including Cwmtawe; following some initial consultation, prior to the last process, Officers dropped the proposal for the 3-16 school and retained a primary status only. One of the factors that lead to this was highlighted to be the potential size of the school; Officers were required to take a professional view as to what was an optimum size for a school in a certain area, and including the pupils of Rhydyfro Primary School would develop too big a school.

It was asked if there were any restrictions around the start date of the consultation period; the Committee were mindful of the need to gather meaningful data from the consultation, which could be difficult to achieve if carried out over the Christmas period. Officers concerns with delaying the consultation start date, was noted to be that it would have an impact on the implementation date for the school, should the proposal be approved at the end of the process; there was a very tight timescale due to planning processes, more specifically some of the surveys that were required to be undertaken at certain times of the year, and delaying the consultation for a month could have the impact of delaying the school for a whole year.

A further discussion took place in regards to the judicial review, and whether the Welsh Language provision was taken into account at the time of the initial consultation. The Head of Legal and Democratic Services explained that one of the grounds for challenge was that a Welsh Language Impact Assessment document had not been included within the consultation document that was issued in October 2020; there was a provision in the school organisation code which stated that where schools could be affected by a proposal, a Welsh Language Impact Assessment would need to be included as part of the documentation. It was added that when the decision was taken previously, a Welsh Language Impact Assessment was included as part of the decision making process, and was considered along with the main report; however, the judicial review looked at whether that should have been included in the consultation document when it was issued to the public.

The following amendment was proposed and seconded as follows:

That the recommendation be amended to change the consultation period start date from 5th December 2022 to the new start date of 9th January 2023, with the 42 days consultation period following that date.

Following scrutiny, the Committee was supportive of the amended recommendations to be considered by Cabinet.

4. Urgent Items

Because of the need to deal now with the matter contained in Minute No. 5 below, the Chairman agreed that they could be raised as urgent items pursuant to Statutory Instrument 2001 No. 2290 (as amended).

Reason for Urgency:

Due to the time element.

5. Pontardawe Swimming Pool -Emergency Works

Members were provided with a report which sought approval for the allocation of funds for emergency works to be carried out at Pontardawe Swimming Pool.

The circulated report highlighted that contractors ARUP were commissioned to carry out a building review of Pontardawe Swimming Pool. Officers were asked if they could provide detail in regards to the costings of the condition survey that was carried out by ARUP. The Director of Education, Leisure and Lifelong Learning explained that they didn't have the information at hand, however would be able to provide the costings to the Committee outside of the meeting.

A discussion took place in regards to the nature of the works that were proposed to be carried out to the swimming pool. It was noted that the works listed within the circulated report were very much temporary in nature and was a short term option in order to keep the facility open; the remedial work carried out will be sufficient for a maximum of a two year period, and after this time there will only be two choices to determine between. The first choice mentioned was substantial refurbishment work, the cost of which was likely to be more than the value of the asset; and the second choice would be to replace the swimming pool.

It was queried whether Officers were seeking funding for a new swimming pool. It was explained that Officers weren't aware of any grant that would fund a replacement swimming pool at this time; Sport Wales capital fund limit was noted to be around £50k, which wouldn't cover the full costs of funding a new swimming pool. Officers agreed to make some enquiries into this query, and would inform Members if there was any new funding streams available.

Members asked for reassurances that the swimming pool would reopen as soon as possible for public use. It was highlighted that the remedial work was required to be undertaken in order to re-open the swimming pool and maintain the facility. Officers had received assurances from the contractor that it would take 3 weeks to carry out the remedial work; however, this didn't include the time needed for the planning and design work, and the Christmas period would also come in between the pool re-opening. It was mentioned that the proposed date for re-opening was the end of January 2023.

Concerns were raised in regards to the extent of deterioration of the structure, and that there were recommendations still outstanding from the 2014 report on Pontardawe Swimming Pool. The Chief Executive explained that the Council had a large infrastructure and insufficient funds to be able to maintain all of those structures to the preferred standard; this had generally been the position for a number of years. It was noted that most often when the Council received grant funding, it was directed towards new infrastructure; there wasn't enough investment funding available to invest in the existing structures, therefore there was deterioration in a lot of those structures. In terms of the specifics of Pontardawe Swimming Pool, Officers confirmed they would investigate as to why there was a gap between the inspections. A suggestion was made for the Environment, Regeneration and Streetscene Services Scrutiny to add this matter to their Forward Work Programme, as this was an issue across a lot of the Councils existing infrastructure; it would be beneficial for the Members of this Committee to gain a better insight into the current issues and potentially make some recommendations.

It was noted that there were a number of active groups that use Pontardawe Swimming Pool, such as the Celtic Dolphins, who were committed to qualifying and moving forward with their chosen sport. Members asked if there had been any consideration given for arrangements to assist these groups during the time that the swimming pool will be closed. Officers stated that they had emailed the Chief Executive of Celtic Leisure on this matter, who had confirmed that they were looking into accommodating the swimming club in an early morning session at Neath Leisure Centre, by sectioning off 2 or 3 of the swimming lanes from the public. It was mentioned that the swimming pool would have been closing in December due to the Christmas period, however Celtic Leisure were actively looking into how they could accommodate the service users further; Members would be informed accordingly, if any further information was received on this matter. Officers highlighted the positive feedback they had received in regards to the response from the staff Pontardawe Swimming Pool; the Manager and Duty Team had handled this matter very positively and professionally.

Following scrutiny, the Committee was supportive of the proposal to be considered by the Cabinet.

6. Forward Work Programme 2022/23

The Forward Work Programme was noted.

CHAIRPERSON

Cabinet Scrutiny Committee

(All starting 2pm unless otherwise stated)

Meeting Date	Agenda Item	Contact Officer
2023		
11 th Jan		
(Cancelled)		
19 th January		
22 nd Feb		
10 th March	PSB Scrutiny	Vanessa Dale
5 th April		
17 th May		

Mae'r dudalen hon yn fwriadol wag